

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/462,224	01/03/2000	MARCEL HENK ANDRE JANSSENS	702-991961 4808		
75	590 07/09/2003		,		
RUSSELL D ORKIN 700 KOPPERS BUILDING 436 SEVENTH AVENUE PITTSBURGH, PA 15219-1818			EXAMINER		
			JULES, FRANTZ F		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3617 DATE MAILED: 07/09/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•		Application No.		Applicant(s)	^				
Offic Action Summon		09/462,224 JANSSENS, MARCE ANDRE		CEL HENK					
., .	ffic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit					
		Frantz F. Jules		3617					
The Period for Re	MAILING DATE of this communication appoply	ears on the cove	r sheet with the co	rrespondence add	dress				
THE MAIL Extensions of after SIX (6) If the period If NO period Failure to re Any reply rec	ENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY ING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period work within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, served by the Office later than three months after the mailing at term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, hower within the statutory min ill apply and will expire cause the application to	ever, may a reply be time nimum of thirty (30) days SIX (6) MONTHS from the become ABANDONED	oly filed will be considered timely the mailing date of this co (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
1)⊠ Res	ponsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 J</u>	<u>lune 2003</u> .							
2a)⊠ This	s action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Thi	is action is non-fi	nal.						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims									
	n(s) <u>8-12 and 14-19</u> is/are pending in the	annlication							
<i>,</i> —		• •	ation						
 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) <u>15-19</u> is/are allowed. 									
· <u> </u>	1 <u>_</u>								
	6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>8,10-12 and 14</u> is/are rejected. 7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>9</u> is/are objected to.								
	n(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election require	ment						
Application Pa		olocation roquiro							
9)∐ The s	pecification is objected to by the Examiner	·.							
10)∐ The d	rawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□ accep	ted or b)⊡ object	ed to by the Exam	iner.					
Арр	licant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be hel	d in abeyance. See	e 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)☐ The p	roposed drawing correction filed on	is: a)∏ approve	ed b)⊡ disapprov	ed by the Examine	r.				
lf ap	proved, corrected drawings are required in rep	ly to this Office ac	tion.						
12) <u></u> The o	ath or declaration is objected to by the Exa	aminer.							
Priority under	35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
13)⊠ Ackn	owledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-	(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All	b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:								
1.⊠	Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been rece	ived.						
2.	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No								
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 									
14) Ackno	wledgment is made of a claim for domestic	priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(e)	(to a provisional	application).				
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.									
Attachment(s)		-							
2) Notice of Dr 3) Information	ferences Cited (PTO-892) aftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) 🗌	Notice of Informal Pa	PTO-413) Paper No(s tent Application (PTO					
S. Patent and Trademark TO-326 (Rev. 04-0		ion Summary	F	art of Paper No. 31					

Art Unit: 3617

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 8, 10-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer (US 1,771,079) in view of Dortmunder Union (DT 916,830).

Fisher discloses in Fig. 3 a rail track comprising a rail (a) of asymmetrical cross-section such that the running surface of the head of the rail lies free, provided with a first layer of yielding material (u) which extends under the bearing surface of the foot of the rail (a), and with the side surfaces (r) of the rails (a) covered with a second layer (s, t) of yielding material having a greater stiffness value in the horizontal direction than the first layer of yielding material (u), wherein the first layer of yielding material (u) is physically separate from the second layer (s, t) of yielding material. The second layer having on one side of the rail a different stiffness than on the other side of the rail as the mass of the two layers are different.

Fischer discloses all of the features as listed above but does not disclose a rail track surrounded by layers of yielding material supported by a non-compressible concrete base body provided with a channel like recess. The general concept of providing a non-compressible concrete base body provided with a channel like recess to support a rail track assembly is well known in the art as illustrated by Dortmunder Union which

Art Unit: 3617

illustrates a non-compressible concrete base body (a) provided with a channel like recess to accommodate a rail track having first and second layers of yielding material surrounding the rail. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fischer to include the use of a non-compressible concrete base body provided with a channel like recess to support the rail track surrounded by first and second layers of yielding materials in his advantageous rail track as taught by Dortmunder Union in order to prevent deflection of the rail track under loading.

3. Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Fischer and Dortmunder Union as applied to claim 8 above, and further in view of Fujita JP-209340).

Claim 14

Fischer and Dortmunder Union teaches all the limitations of claim 14 except for a rail track supported by a concrete base body having a layer of sound-absorbing material on the upper side of thereof. The general concept of providing a layer of sound-absorbing material on the upper side of a concrete structure is well known in the art as illustrated by Fujita which illustrates a concrete road surface having a layer of sound absorbing material on the top surface thereof, see abstract section. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify Fischer to include the use of a layer of sound-absorbing material on the upper side of the concrete base body of his advantageous rail track as taught by Fujita in order to reduce noise level in the rail track.

Art Unit: 3617

Allowable Subject Matter

- 4. Claims 15-19 stand allowable. None of the references of record suggests a rail track having first and second layers of yielding material surrounding a rail and positioned in a concrete base body defining a recess to receive the rail assembly, wherein the space between the second layer and the channel-like recess is filled with a filler body of non-compressible material in the manner defined in the instant claim 15. Therefore, claims 16-19, depending therefrom, are considered to be allowable.
- 5. Claim 9 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. None of the references of record suggests a rail track having first and second layers of yielding material surrounding a rail and positioned in a concrete base body defining a recess to receive the rail assembly, wherein the space between the second layer and the channel-like recess is filled with a filler body of non-compressible material in the manner defined in the instant claim 9.

Response to Arguments

- 6. Applicant's arguments filed 06/05/03 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive
- A. Summary of applicant's argument

In the amendment, applicant traversed the rejection of claims 8-12, and 14 for the following reasons:

Art Unit: 3617

- 1. The prior arts used in the rejection, Fischer and Dortmunder Union, does not disclose a second layer of yielding material having a greater stiffness value in the horizontal than the first layer of yielding material in the vertical direction.
- B. Response to applicant's argument
- 1. In response to applicant's contention that both references of record, Fischer and Dortmunder Union, fail to disclose a second layer of yielding material having a greater stiffness value in the horizontal than the first layer of yielding material in the vertical direction, it must be recognized that both references disclose a second layer of yielding material identified as item g in the case of Fischer and item c in the case of Dortmunder Union. This second layer of yielding material is shown in Fishcher to have greater stiffness value in the horizontal direction than the first layer of yielding material which is identified as item u in Fischer. It is factual and accurate that the stiffness or rigidity of item g in the horizontal direction is greater than the stiffness of item u in the vertical direction given the fact that stiffness or rigidity of a similar material is proportional to thickness. In a similar manner, the second layer of yielding material c of Dortmunder Union is shown to have greater stiffness provided in the horizontal direction than that of the first layer of yielding material which is provided underneath the rail given the fact that stiffness or rigidity of a similar material is proportional to thickness. A copy of the translation of the German patent Dortmunder Union is provided for applicant's use.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Art Unit: 3617

Horose Kogyo, and Weber et al are cited to show related road or tie structures provided with sound absorbing material.

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Frantz F. Jules whose telephone number is (703) 308-8780. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday and every other Friday from 07:30 AM to 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph S. Morano, can be reached on (703) 308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 305-7687.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Frantz Jules
Patent Examiner
Art Unit 3617

FFJ

June 27, 2003

S. JOSEPH MORANO SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600

Page 6